Approved For Release 2008/03/03: CIA-RDP80-00809A000500730183-5 CLASSIFICATION | | RESTRICTED SLOVE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY 25X1 INFORMATION FROM FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS Foreign FOREIGN RADIO COMMENTS RELATED TO THE SITUATION IN KORRA DATE DIST. 7 July 1950 PUBLISHED Radio Broadcasts NO. OF PAGES 3

DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE

COUNTRY

SUBJECT

PUBLISHED

ANGUAGE

HOW

DATE PUBLISHED

25X1 WHERE

25X1

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SUPPLEMENT TO

REPORT NO.

INTRODUCTION: There has been a considerably greater number of monitored Soviet-Communist comments during approximately the past 24 hours than at any time since the North Korean invadors launched their attacks. Most important, however, is the greater frequency and intensity of statements which appear to reflect an attitude of increasing threat and belliggrence and an increased expectation of general war. Whether or not these statements are functions of definite Soviet-Communist intentions in the operation field (as distinct from the field of propaganda), they do suggest a more direct effort to prepare the Communics world for werlike eventualities. On the other hand, they may be related primarily to the fearmongering purposes that are implicit in a war of nerves. Side by side with such statements, Soviet-Communist propaganda continues to plug the "peace campaign"; but even here, the issue of American "aggression" against Korea is emphasized as something the "peace partisans" must fight. In effect, the "peace campaign" is now as much concerned with mobilizing opinion specifically against this "aggression" -- "hands off Korea" -- as it is with arousing antagonism toward America's "aggressive, war-preparing policy" in general and with securing signatures to the Stockholm "Peace" Appeal.

PSYCHOLOGICAL MOBILIZATION IN THE COMMUNIST WORLD? FEARMONGERING: Evidences of propagandistic counter-threats and belligerence toward the American "aggressors" and of concern with the possibility of general war come from diverse sources in the Communist world, as listed below.

The Possibility of War

CLASSIFICATION

A Soviet-controlled Berlin commentator says that the answer to the question of whether or not war is "inevitable" depends on the "people." If it depended on Truman, etc.,

1	CLASSIFICATION					RESTRICTE) Ki	COTUIP	l	1.41	1	
	STATE	<u>X</u>	NAVY		NSRB		DISTRIBUTION		3 - 5 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6	_		
i	ARMY	\mathbf{Z}	AIR	X	FBI						<u> </u>	
1	i	П	· ·						4			1

Approved For Release 2008/03/03: CIA-RDP80-00809A000500730183-5

Approved For Release 2008/03/03 : CIA-RDP80-00809A000500730183-5

KESINIE TO

RESTRICTED

- 2 -

25X1

"the war would have started long ago." The only thing that has prevented it to date has been the "powerful growth of the peace movement." But the commentator warns that "nearly all of us... have underrated the gravity of the threat of war. This underestimation... is undoubtedly due to an underestimate of the aggressive character of U.S. imperialism. The important thing now is to correct this fault as quickly and as radically as possible."

According to Radio Rome, Togliatti has written a UNITA article which, "based on obscure promises,... asserts that the state of affairs implies that the third world war has begun. But he does not enlarge the theme!"

A Radio Sofie report of the Bulgarian ceremonies marking the anniversary of Dimitrov's death quotes the address by Bulgarian Communist leader Zhivkov, who implicitly poses the possibility of war in the following terms: "If... the Anglo-U.S. imperialists do not form a correct and sober estimate of the international situation (related to Kores) and try to unless he new war..." (See section on "counter-threats," below, for his answer.)

A similar implication is contained in a Radio Budapest commentary which, in the context of attention to the Korean situation, considers the question of what will happen "if the peoples must shed their blood... if the imperialists violate peace..." (See section on "counter-threats," below, for his answer.)

Sov et commentator Kudriavtsev charges that the Americans exploited their occupation of South Korea "for turning it... into a military bridgehead for attacks against northern Korea, into a base for aggression against the USSR and China." (It should be noted that Soviet propaganda has quite frequently charged that the Americans were preparing bordering "bridgeheads" against the USSR and its Satellites. But Kudriavtsev is the first Soviet commentator to have repeated this charge in discussions of the present Korean situation.)

The Seviet-controlled Leipzig radio quotes the Communist leader Ulbricht as "demanding the intensification of the enlightenment campaign for peace in view of the U.S. intervention in Korea" and as "attacking the agents of U.S. monopoly capitalism who... are trying to incite to war in the territory of the German Democratic Republic." (And in connection with Ulbricht's charge that U.S. imperialism is "preparing another war," he advises that "the SED should make every effort to prevent a new era of hostility between Germany and the People's Democracies.")

Another Leipzig broadcast, charging that the "U.S. imperialists are employing the same tactics against the Korean people as they employed against the German civilian population in the last war," declares that "they would not hesitate in the least to do the same again toward the German civilians."

A Prague radio attack against American "aggression in Korea" cites the "Western use of the Colorado beetle" against Czecheslovakia as "an act of war and no longer cold war."

Counter-Threats

TASS, reporting Soviet protest meetings and "demands that the American aggressors be curbed," quotes a statement by a Soviet worker to the effect that "the camp of peace is strong enough to check the aggressor, the initiator of a new world war." And in the same dispatch, TASS reports that in conjunction with their demands for the "cessation of the armed intervention of the Anglo-American imperialists in Korean affairs" workers in Mescow's motorcycle factory resolved that they will strengthen still more the might of the Soviet State which marches in the van of the struggle for peace." A Soviet-controlled Berlin commentator "does not doubt for a moment that the power of resistance of the Korean people and of all peace-loving people in the world by their side will succeed in warding off the threat to peace in East Asia." (The strength of the "camp of peace" has of course long been a favorite Soviet-Communist propagands theme. But it has always involved the concept of some kind of "struggle" against and conflict with the "imperialist camp." And the French Communist HUMANITE's recent direct contention that the North Koreans are fighting for "peace" indicates that the slogan "the camp of peace against the aggressor" may also be used by Mescow in the event of expectations of general war.)

The above-mentioned Budapest commentator declares that "the peoples want peace," but

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED

in as only against the im-

that "if they must shed their room are hereighted for the cause of room and therty, they are bound to suffer defeat and to perish."

Bulgarian Communist leader Zhivkov voices Bulgaria's solidarity with the "Korean people" and declares that the peoples in defense of percawill make the "criminal plans of the imperialists collapse." But if the Anglo-America imperialists do not correctly estimate the international situation and try to unless a third world war, "millions of simple people led by the USSR and the Seriet army will give such a decisive and destructive answer that the war began by then will be, as Malenkov warned, 'a grave not only for certain capitalist countries but for all world capitalism.'" (The Seviet radio has frequently used the indicated quotation from Malenkov's speech on the eccasion of the most recent anniversary of the October Revolution. But this is the first time that a monitored Communist source has used it in the context of attention to the international situation resulting from events in Keres. Also noteworthy is that by explicitly referring to "millions of simple people led by the USSR and the Seviet army," it goes beyond Malenkov, who did not make such an explicit identification.)

Fellowing his reference to the "grave of capitalism," Zhivkev attacks the "miserable lackeys of U.S. imperialism in the Balkans"--namely, the Tito clique, the Greek memarcho-fascists, and particularly the "Turkish reactionary leaders" who "recently made an attempt to infiltrate a group of Turkish spies and subversive agents into Bulgarian territory."

A Pelish broadcast, comparing the USSR's "brotherly assistance to Poland" with "the invasion by U.S. imperialism of the Kerean Republic," concludes: "Two facts! Two ideologies! And two worlds! Nobedy can have any doubt which of these ideologies will be chesen by the people and which of the two worlds will be victorious."

MORE TYPICAL SOVIET-COMMUNIST PROPAGANDA LINES: It should be pointed out that the abovecited statements are not typical of the bulk of Seviet-Communist prepaganda. Because of
their combined appearance almost evernight, however, it was felt that they justified fairly
detailed presentation here. More common to all Communist sources is the reiterated theme
that the U.S. "aggression" followed a long and deliberate series of preparations therefor.
There are increasingly frequent references to
the North Kercan military successes despite
American efforts, and to the ultimate victory of the North Kercan Communists. Practically
all Communist sources play up the allegedly worldwide protest against the American "aggression."
Moscow especially attempts to put acress the idea that the masses of the world are uniting
behind the slegan, "hands off Kerca." This slegan is featured repeatedly in the vast volume
of propaganda around the current USSR drive for signatures to the Stockholm "Peace" Appeal
and TASS bulletins report that it is being taken up by workers throughout the world.
Communist sources also publicize the alleged atrocities being committed by American aircraft
in their "deliberate" bombings of innocent Kercan civilians, women, children, and eldsters.
And there are continued references to the illegality of the U.N. Socurity Council's resolutions.

WESTERN-ORIENTED COMMENT: Western-oriented sources continue to express approval of the President's decisions. Britain's SUNDAY DISPATCH, for example, carried a Vansittart-authored article entitled, "Thank God for Truman." There is still not a great deal of comment, on the progress of the fighting. The French news agency, AFP, reports that the French public "is absolutely calm over events in Korea" and has adjusted itself to the idea of a "longdrawn-out operation." The Berne radio quotes a Swiss paper to the effect that Kores "is a clear example of how even the most powerful assistance offered to a small country which is attacked by an unscrupulous aggressor usually comes rather late, often too late"; the moral is that Switzerland should devote attention to its own national defense. Britain's THE PROPIE considers that the shortege of American and other U.N. combat troops in Korea represents the most critical problem. Speculations about future Soviet policy and actions continue to appear. They range from concern about the possible role that may be assigned to the Chinese Communists, through the belief that "it may suit Russia best to work for a long-drawn-out struggle ... which can be used as a theme for propaganda," to the fear that if there is a long-drawn-out struggle "Russian undercover aid could pin down ever-increasing numbers of American and other Western forces in the Keres operations, thus making it possible for Russia and Red China to strike elsewhere against () depleted Western forces." There are also continued allusiens to the need for American occupation forces to remain in countries now occupied so that the Korean situation will not be repeated elsewhere.

RESTRICTED

RESTRICTED